

Frequency jammer device - phone frequency jammer tours

[Home](#)

>

[rfid scrambler](#)

>

frequency jammer device

- [4g 5g jammer](#)
- [4g 5g jammer](#)
- [5g jammer](#)
- [5g jammer](#)
- [5g 4g 3g jammer](#)
- [5g 4g 3g jammer](#)
- [5g 4g jammer](#)
- [5g 4g jammer](#)
- [5g all jammer](#)
- [5g all jammer](#)
- [5g cell jammer](#)
- [5g cell jammer](#)
- [5g cell phone jammer](#)
- [5g cell phone jammer](#)
- [5g cell phone signal jammer](#)
- [5g cell phone signal jammer](#)
- [5g frequency jammer](#)
- [5g frequency jammer](#)
- [5g jammer](#)
- [5g jammer](#)
- [5g jammer uk](#)
- [5g jammer uk](#)
- [5g jammers](#)
- [5g jammers](#)
- [5g mobile jammer](#)
- [5g mobile jammer](#)
- [5g mobile phone jammer](#)
- [5g mobile phone jammer](#)
- [5g phone jammer](#)
- [5g phone jammer](#)
- [5g signal jammer](#)
- [5g signal jammer](#)
- [5g wifi jammer](#)
- [5g wifi jammer](#)
- [5ghz signal jammer](#)
- [5ghz signal jammer](#)

- [cell phone jammer 5g](#)
- [cell phone jammer 5g](#)
- [esp8266 wifi jammer 5ghz](#)
- [esp8266 wifi jammer 5ghz](#)
- [fleetmatics australia](#)
- [fleetmatics customer service number](#)
- [fleetmatics now](#)
- [fleetmatics tracker](#)
- [g spy](#)
- [gj6](#)
- [glonass phones](#)
- [gps 1600](#)
- [gps portable mobil](#)
- [gps walkie talkie](#)
- [green and white cigarette pack](#)
- [green box cigarettes](#)
- [green box of cigarettes](#)
- [gsm coverage maps](#)
- [gsm phone antenna](#)
- [gsm stoorzender](#)
- [gsm störare](#)
- [gsm глушилка](#)
- [harry potter magic wand tv remote](#)
- [harry potter wand kymera](#)
- [hawkeye gps tracking](#)
- [how high is 60 meters](#)
- [how to block a telematics box](#)
- [how to disable geotab go7](#)
- [how to erase drivecam](#)
- [i drive cam](#)
- [irobot 790](#)
- [jammer 5g](#)
- [jammer 5g](#)
- [jammer 5ghz](#)
- [jammer 5ghz](#)
- [jammer wifi 5ghz](#)
- [jammer wifi 5ghz](#)
- [l3 l4](#)
- [malbro green](#)
- [marboro green](#)
- [marlboro green price](#)
- [marlboro greens cigarettes](#)
- [marlboro mini pack](#)
- [marlbro green](#)
- [mini antenna](#)
- [mini phone](#)
- [phs meaning](#)

- [portable wifi antenna](#)
- [que significa cdma](#)
- [recorder detector](#)
- [rf 315](#)
- [rfid scrambler](#)
- [skype nsa](#)
- [spectrum mobile review](#)
- [spy webcams](#)
- [three antenna](#)
- [uniden guardian wireless camera](#)
- [uniden wireless security](#)
- [wifi 5g jammer](#)
- [wifi 5g jammer](#)
- [wifi jammer 5ghz](#)
- [wifi jammer 5ghz](#)
- [wifi jammer 5ghz diy](#)
- [wifi jammer 5ghz diy](#)

Permanent Link to Spectrum Interference Standards: Seeking a Win-Win Rebound from Lose-Lose

2021/03/16

By Christopher J. Hegarty Based upon lessons learned from the LightSquared situation, the author identifies important considerations for GPS spectrum interference standards, recommended by the PNT EXCOM for future commercial proposals in bands adjacent to the RNSS band to avoid interference to GNSS. On January 13, 2012, the U.S. National Positioning, Navigation, and Timing Executive Committee (PNT EXCOM) met in Washington, D.C., to discuss the latest round of testing of the radiofrequency compatibility between GPS and a terrestrial mobile broadband network proposed by LightSquared. The proposed network included base stations transmitting in the 1525 - 1559 MHz band and handsets transmitting in the 1626.5 - 1660.5 MHz band. These bands are adjacent to the 1559 - 1610 MHz radionavigation satellite service (RNSS) band used by GPS and other satellite navigation systems. Based upon the test results, the EXCOM unanimously concluded that "both LightSquared's original and modified plans for its proposed mobile network would cause harmful interference to many GPS receivers," and that further "there appear to be no practical solutions or mitigations" to allow the network to operate in the near-term without resulting in significant interference. The LightSquared outcome was a lose-lose in the sense that billions were spent by the investors in LightSquared and, as noted by the EXCOM, "substantial federal resources have been expended and diverted from other programs in testing and analyzing LightSquared's proposals." To avoid a similar situation in the future, the EXCOM proposed the development of "GPS Spectrum interference standards that will help inform future proposals for non-space, commercial uses in the bands adjacent to the GPS signals and ensure that any such proposals are implemented without affecting existing and evolving uses of space-based PNT services." This article identifies and describes several important considerations in the development of GPS spectrum interference standards towards achieving the stated EXCOM goals.

These include the identification of characteristics of adjacent band systems and an assessment of the susceptibility of all GPS receiver types towards interference in adjacent bands. Also of vital importance to protecting GPS receivers is an understanding of the user base, applications, and where the receivers for each application may be located while in use. This information, along with the selection of proper propagation models, allows one to establish transmission limits on new adjacent-band systems that will protect currently fielded GPS receivers. The article further comments on the implications of the evolution of GPS and foreign satellite navigation systems upon the development of efficacious spectrum interference standards.

Adjacent Band Characteristics


The type of adjacent-band system for which there is currently the greatest level of interest is a nationwide wireless fourth-generation (4G) terrestrial network to support the rapidly growing throughput demands of personal mobile devices. Such a nationwide network would likely consist of tens of thousands of base stations distributed throughout the United States and millions of mobile devices. The prevalent standard at the present time is Long Term Evolution (LTE), which is being deployed by all of the major U.S. carriers. LTE and Advanced LTE provide an efficient physical layer for mobile wireless services. Worldwide Interoperability for Microwave Access (WiMAX) is a competing wireless communication standard for 4G wireless that is a far-distant second in popularity. For the purposes of the discussion within this article, an LTE network is assumed with characteristics similar to that proposed by LightSquared but perhaps with base stations and mobile devices that transmit upon different center frequencies and bandwidths. The primary characteristics include: Tens of thousands of base stations nationwide, reusing frequencies in a cellular architecture, with the density of base stations peaking in urban areas. Base-station antennas at heights from sub-meter to 150 meters above ground level (AGL), with a typical height of 20-30 meters AGL. Each base station site has 1-3 sector antennas mounted on a tower such that peak power is transmitted at a downtilt of 2-6 degrees below the local horizon, with a 60-70 degree horizontal 3-dB beamwidth and 8-9 degree vertical 3-dB beamwidth. Peak effective isotropic radiated power (EIRP) in the vicinity of 20-40 dBW (100-10,000 W) per sector. Mobile devices transmit at a peak EIRP of around 23 dBm (0.2 W), but substantially lower most of the time when lower power levels suffice to achieve a desired quality of service as determined using real-time power control techniques. As LTE uses efficient transmission protocols, emissions can be accurately modeled as brickwall, that is, confined to a finite bandwidth around the carrier. Throughout this article it will be presumed that LTE emissions in the bands authorized for RNSS systems such as GPS will be kept sufficiently low through regulatory means. The opening photo shows a typical base-station tower, with three sectors per cellular service provider and with multiple service providers sharing space on the tower, including non-cellular fixed point microwave providers. As a cellular network is being built out, coverage is at first most important, and many base-station sites will use minimum downtilt and peak EIRPs within the ranges described above. As the network matures, capacity becomes more important. High-traffic cells are split through the introduction of more base stations, and this is commonly accompanied by increased downtilts and lower EIRPs. The assumed characteristics for adjacent band systems plays a paramount role in determining compatibility with GPS, and obviously lower-power adjacent-band systems would be

more compatible. If compatibility with GPS precludes 4G network implementation on certain underutilized frequencies adjacent to RNSS bands, then it may be prudent to refocus attention for these bands on alternative lower-power systems.

GPS Receiver Susceptibility

Over the past two years, millions of dollars have been expended to measure or analyze the susceptibility of GPS receivers to adjacent band interference as part of U.S. regulatory proceedings for LightSquared. Measurements were conducted through both radiated (see photo) and conducted tests at multiple facilities, as well as in a live-sky demonstration in Las Vegas. This section summarizes the findings for seven categories of GPS receivers. These categories, which were originally identified in the Federal Communications Commission (FCC)-mandated GPS-LightSquared Technical Working Group (TWG) formed in February 2011, are: aviation, cellular, general location/navigation, high-precision, timing, networks, and space-based receivers.

Aviation

Certified aviation GPS receivers are one of the few receiver types for which interference requirements exist. These requirements take the form of an interference mask (see Figure 1) that is included in both domestic and international standards. Certified aviation GPS receivers must meet all applicable performance requirements in the presence of interference levels up to those indicated in the mask as a function of center frequency. In Figure 1 and throughout this article, all interference levels are referred to the output of the GPS receiver passive-antenna element. Although the mask only spans 1500–1640 MHz, within applicable domestic and international standards the curves are defined to extend over the much wider range of frequencies from 1315 to 2000 MHz. Figure 1. Certified aviation receiver interference mask.

A handful of aviation GPS receivers were tested against LightSquared emissions in both conducted and radiated campaigns. The results indicated that these receivers are compliant with the mask with potentially some margin. However, the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) noted the following significant limitations of the testing: Not all receiver performance requirements were tested. Only a limited number of certified receivers were tested, and even those tested were not tested with every combination of approved equipment (for example, receiver/antenna pairings). Tests were not conducted in the environmental conditions that the equipment was certified to tolerate (for example, across the wide range of temperatures that an airborne active antenna experiences, and the extreme vibration profile that is experienced by avionics upon some aircraft). Due to these limitations, the FAA focused attention upon the standards rather than the test results for LightSquared compatibility analyses, and these standards are also recommended for use in the development of national GPS interference standards.

One finding from the measurements of aviation receivers that may be useful, however, is that the devices tested exhibited susceptibilities to out-of-band interference that were nearly constant as a function of interference bandwidth. This fact is useful since the out-of-band interference mask within aviation standards is only defined for continuous-wave (pure tone) interference, whereas LightSquared and other potential adjacent-band systems use signals with bandwidths of 5 MHz or greater.

Cellular

The TWG tested 41 cellular devices supplied by four U.S. carriers (AT&T, Sprint, US Cellular, and Verizon) against LightSquared emissions in the late spring/early summer of 2011. At least one of the 41 devices failed industry standards in the presence of a 5- or 10-MHz LTE signal centered at 1550 MHz at levels as low as -55 dBm, and at least one failed for a 10-MHz LTE signal centered at 1531 MHz at

levels as low as -45 dBm. The worst performing cellular devices were either not production models or very old devices, and if the results for these devices are excluded, then the most susceptible device could tolerate a 10-MHz LTE signal centered at 1531 MHz at power levels of up to -30 dBm. Careful retesting took place in the fall of 2011, yielding a lower maximum susceptibility value of -27 dBm under the same conditions.

General Location/Navigation. The TWG effort tested 29 general location/navigation devices. In the presence of a pair of 10-MHz LTE signals centered at 1531 MHz and 1550 MHz, the most susceptible device experienced a 1-dB signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) degradation when each LTE signal was received at -58.9 dBm. In the presence of a single 10-MHz LTE signal centered at 1531 MHz, the most susceptible device experienced a 1-dB SNR degradation when the interfering signal was received at -33 dBm. Much more extensive testing of the effects of a single LTE signal centered at 1531 MHz on general location/navigation devices was conducted in the fall of 2011, evaluating 92 devices. The final report on this campaign noted that 69 of the 92 devices experienced a 1-dB SNR decrease or greater when "at an equivalent distance of greater than 100 meters from the LightSquared simulated tower." Since the tower was modeled as transmitting an EIRP of 62 dBm, the 100-meter separation is equivalent to a received power level of around -14 dBm. The two most susceptible devices experienced 1-dB SNR degradations at received power levels less than -45 dBm.

High Precision, Timing, Networks. The early 2011 TWG campaign tested 44 high-precision and 13 timing receivers. 10 percent of the high-precision (timing) devices experienced a 1-dB or more SNR degradation in the presence of a 10-MHz LTE signal centered at 1550 MHz at a received power level of -81 dBm (-72 dBm). With the 10-MHz LTE signal centered at 1531 MHz, this level increased to -67 dBm (-39 dBm). The reason that some high-precision GPS receivers are so sensitive to interference in the 1525-1559 MHz band is that they were built with wideband radiofrequency front-ends to intentionally process both GPS and mobile satellite service (MSS) signals. The latter signals provide differential GPS corrections supplied by commercial service providers that lease MSS satellite transponders, from companies including LightSquared.

Space. Two space-based receivers were tested for the TWG study. The first was a current-generation receiver, and the second a next-generation receiver under development. The two receivers experienced 1-dB C/A-code SNR degradation with total interference power levels of -59 dBm and -82 dBm in the presence of two 5-MHz LTE signals centered at 1528.5 MHz and 1552.7 MHz. For a single 10-MHz LTE signal centered at 1531 MHz, the levels corresponding to a 1-dB C/A-code SNR degradation increased to -13 dBm and -63 dBm. The next-generation receiver was more susceptible to adjacent-band interference because it was developed to "be reprogrammed in flight to different frequencies over the full range of GNSS and augmentation signals."

Discussion. Although extensive amounts of data were produced, the LightSquared studies are insufficient by themselves for the development of GPS interference standards, since they only assessed the susceptibility of GPS receivers to interference at the specific carrier frequencies and with the specific bandwidths proposed by LightSquared. If GPS interference standards are to be developed for additional bands, then much more comprehensive measurements will be necessary. Interestingly, NTIA in 1998 initiated a GPS receiver interference susceptibility study, funded by the Department of Defense (DoD) and conducted by DoD's Joint Spectrum Center. One set of curves

produced by the study is shown in Figure 2. This format would be a useful output of a further measurement campaign. The curves depict the interference levels needed to produce a 1-dB SNR degradation to one GPS device as the bandwidth and center frequency of the interference is varied. The NTIA curves only extended from GPS L1 (1575.42 MHz) $\pm$ 20 MHz. A much wider range would be needed to develop GPS interference standards as envisioned by the PNT EXCOM. It may be possible, to minimize testing, to exclude certain ranges of frequencies corresponding to bands that stakeholders agree are unlikely to be repurposed for new (for example, mobile broadband) systems. Figure 2. Example of NTIA-initiated receiver susceptibility measurements from 1998. Receiver-Transmitter Proximity The LightSquared studies, with the exception of those focused on aviation and space applications, spent far less attention to receiver-transmitter proximity. Minimum separation distances and the associated geometry are obviously very important towards determining the maximum interference level that might be expected for a given LTE network (or other adjacent band system) laydown. Within the TWG, the assumption generally made for other (non-aviation, non-space) GPS receiver categories was that they could see power levels that were measured in Las Vegas a couple of meters above the ground from a live LightSquared tower. Figure 3 shows one set of received power measurements from Las Vegas. In the figure, the dots are measured received power levels made by a test van. The top curve is a prediction of received power based upon the free-space path-loss model. The bottom curve is a prediction based upon the Walfisch-Ikegami line-of-sight (WILOS) propagation model. The NPEF studies presumed that the user could be within the boresight of a sector antenna even within small distances of the antenna (where the user would need to be at a significant height above ground).

Figure 3 Measurements of received power levels from one experimental LightSquared base station sector in Las Vegas live-sky testing. The difference between the above received LTE signal power assumptions has been hotly debated, especially after LightSquared proposed limiting received power levels from the aggregate of all transmitting base stations as measured a couple of meters above the ground in areas accessible to a test vehicle. After summarizing the aviation scenarios developed by the FAA, this section highlights scenarios where so-called terrestrial GPS receivers can be at above-ground heights well over 2 meters. The importance of accurately understanding transmitter-receiver proximity is illustrated by Figure 4. This shows predicted received power levels for one LTE base station sector transmitting with an EIRP of 30 dBW and with an antenna height of 20 meters (65.6 feet). The figure was produced assuming the free-space path-loss model and a typical GPS patch-antenna gain pattern for the user. Note that maximum received power levels are very sensitive to the victim GPS receiver antenna height. Figure 4.

Received power in dBm at the output of a GPS patch antenna from one 30 dBW EIRP LTE base station sector at 20 meters. Aviation. The first LightSquared-GPS study conducted for civil aviation was completed by the Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautic (RTCA) upon a request from the FAA. Due to the extremely short requested turnaround time (3 months), RTCA consciously decided not to devote any of the available time developing operational scenarios, but rather re-used scenarios that it had developed for earlier interference studies. It was later realized that the combination of five re-used scenarios and assumed LightSquared network characteristics did not result in an accurate identification of the most stressing real-

world scenarios. For instance, within the RTCA report, base stations' towers were all assumed to be 30 meters in height. At this height, towers could not be close to runway thresholds where aircraft are flying very low to the ground, because this situation would be precluded by obstacle clearance surfaces. Later studies used actual base-station locations, from which the aviation community became aware that cellular service providers do place base stations close to airports by utilizing lower base-station heights as necessary to keep the antenna structure just below obstacle clearance surfaces. The FAA completed an assessment of LightSquared-GPS compatibility in January 2012 that identified scenarios where certified aviation receivers could experience much higher levels of interference than was assessed in the RTCA report. The areas where fixed-wing and rotary-wing aircraft rely on GPS are depicted in Figures 5 and 6 (above the connected line segments), respectively. □Figure 5. Area where GPS use must be assured for fixed-wing aircraft. Figure 6. Area where GPS use must be assured for rotary-wing aircraft. Aircraft rely upon GPS for navigation and Terrain Awareness and Warning Systems (TAWS). Helicopter low-level en-route navigation and TAWS for fixed- and rotary-wing aircraft are perhaps the most challenging scenarios for ensuring GPS compatibility with adjacent-band cellular networks. In these scenarios, the aircraft can be within the boresight of cellular sector antennas and in very close proximity, resulting in very high received-power levels. The FAA attempted to provide some leeway for LightSquared while maintaining safe functionality of TAWS through the concept of exclusion zones (see Figure 7). The idea of an exclusion zone is that, at least for cellular base-station transmitters on towers that are included within TAWS databases, that it would be permitted for the GPS function to not be available for very small zones around the LTE base-station tower. This concept is currently notional only; the FAA plans to more carefully evaluate the feasibility of this concept and appropriate exclusion-zone size with the assistance of other aviation industry stakeholders. □Figure 7. Example exclusion area around base station to protect TAWS. High-precision and Networks: Reference Stations. To gain insight into typical reference-station heights for differential GPS networks, the AGL heights of sites comprising the Continuously Operating Reference Station (CORS) network organized by the National Geodetic Survey (NGS) were determined. The assessment procedure is detailed in the Appendix. Figure 8 portrays a histogram of estimated AGL heights for the 1543 operational sites within the continental United States (CONUS) as of February 2012. The accuracy of the estimated AGL heights is on the order of 16 meters, 90 percent, limited primarily by the quality of the terrain data that was utilized. The mean and median site heights are 5.7 and 5.2 meters, respectively. □Figure 8. Distribution of heights for CORS sites. RALR, atop the Archdale Building in Raleigh, North Carolina, was the tallest identified site at 64.1 meters. This site, however, was decommissioned in January 2012 (although it was identified as operational in a February 2012 NGS listing of sites). The second tallest site identified is WVHU in Huntington, West Virginia at 39.6 meters, which is still operational atop of a Marshall University building. 223 of the 1543 CORS sites within CONUS have AGL heights greater than 10 meters, and furthermore the taller sites tend to be in urban areas where cellular networks tend to have the greatest base-station density. High Precision and Networks: End Users. Many high-precision end users employ GPS receivers at considerable heights above ground. For instance, high-precision receivers are relied

upon within modern construction methods. The adjacent photos show GPS receivers used for the construction of a 58-story skyscraper called The Bow in Calgary, Canada. For this project, a rooftop control network was established on top of neighboring buildings using both GPS receivers and other surveying equipment (for example, 360-degree prisms for total stations), and GPS receivers were moved up with each successive stage of the building to keep structural components plumb and properly aligned. Similar techniques are being used for the Freedom Tower, the new World Trade Center, in New York City, and many other current construction projects. Other terrestrial applications that rely on high-precision GPS receivers at high altitudes include structural monitoring and control of mechanical equipment such as gantry cranes. At times, even ground-based survey receivers can be substantially elevated. Although a conventional surveying pole or tripod typically places the GPS antenna 1.5 - 2 meters above the ground, much longer poles are available and occasionally used in areas where obstructions are present. 4-meter GPS poles are often utilized, and poles of up to 40 ft (12.2 meters) are available from survey supply companies.

General Location/Navigation. Although controlling received power from a cellular network at 2 meters AGL may be suitable to protect many general navigation/location users, it is not adequate by itself. For example, GPS receivers are used for tracking trucks and for positive train control (the latter mandated in the United States per the Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008). GPS antennas for trucks and trains are often situated on top of these vehicles. Large trucks in the United States for use on public roads can be up to 13 ft, 6 in (~4.1 meters), and a typical U.S. locomotive height is 15 ft, 5 in (~4.7 meters). Especially in a mature network that is using high downtilts, received power at these AGL heights can be substantially higher than at 2 meters. Within the TWG and NPEF studies, the general location/navigation GPS receiver category is defined to include non-certified aviation receivers. One notable application is the use of GPS to navigate unmanned aerial vehicles. UAVs are increasingly being used for law enforcement, border control, and many other applications where the UAV can be expected to occasionally pass within the boresight of cellular antennas at short ranges.

Cellular. The majority of Americans own cell phones, and a growing number are using cell phones as a replacement for landlines within their home. Already, 70 percent of 911 calls are made on mobile phones. Although pedestrians and car passengers are often within 2 meters of the ground, this is not always the case. Figure 9 shows three cellular sector antennas situated atop a building filled with residential condominiums. The rooftop is accessible and frequently used by the building inhabitants. According to an online real estate advertisement, "The Garden Roof was voted the Best Green Roof in Town and provides amazing 360 degree views of downtown Nashville as well as four separate sitting areas and fabulous landscaping." One of the sector antennas is pointing towards the opposite corner of the building. If the downtilt is in the vicinity of 2-6 degrees, then it is quite likely that a person making a 911 call from the rooftop could see a received power level of -10 dBm to 0 dBm, high enough to disrupt GPS within most cellular devices if the antennas were transmitting in the 1525-1559 MHz band.

□Figure 9. Cellular antennas atop Westview Condominium Building in downtown Nashville. This situation is not unusual. Many cellular base stations are situated on rooftops in urban areas, and many illuminate living areas in adjacent buildings. In recent years, New York City even considered legislation to protect citizens from

potential harmful effects of the more than 2,600 cell sites in the city, since many sites are in very close proximity to residential areas. Propagation Models Within the LightSquared proceedings, there was a tremendous amount of debate regarding propagation models. Communication-system service providers typically use propagation models that are conservative in their estimates of received power levels in the sense that they overestimate propagation losses. This conservatism is necessary so that the service can be provided to end users with high availability. From the standpoint of potential victims of interference, however, it is seen as far more desirable to underestimate propagation losses so that interference can be kept below an acceptable level a very high percentage of time. As shown in Figure 3, some received power measurements from the Las Vegas live-sky test indicate values even greater than would be predicted using free-space propagation model. Statistical models that allow for this possible were used in the FAA Status Report. The general topic of propagation models is worthy of future additional study if GPS interference standards are to be developed. Future Considerations GPS is being modernized. Additionally, satellite navigation users now enjoy the fact that the Russian GLONASS system has recently returned to full strength with the repopulation of its constellation. In the next decade, satellite navigation users also eagerly anticipate the completion of two other global GNSS constellations: Europe's Galileo and China's Compass. Notably, between the GPS modernization program and the deployment of these other systems, satellite navigation users are expected to soon be relying upon equipment that is multi-frequency and that needs to process many more signals with varied characteristics. New equipment offers an opportunity to insert new technologies such as improved filtering, but of course the need to process additional signals and carrier frequencies may make GNSS equipment more susceptible to interference as well. Clearly, these developments will need to be carefully assessed to support the establishment of GPS spectrum interference standards. Summary This article has identified a number of considerations for the development of GPS interference standards, which have been proposed by the PNT EXCOM. If the United States proceeds with the development of such standards, it is hoped that the information within this article will prove useful to those involved. □Bow highrise under construction in Calgary, showing GPS receivers in use (photos courtesy Rocky Annett, MMM Group Ltd.) □(Photo courtesy of Rocky Annett, MMM Group Ltd.) □(Photo courtesy of Rocky Annett, MMM Group Ltd.) Appendix: AGL Heights of CORS Network Sites The National Geodetic Survey Continuously Operating Reference Station (CORS) website provides lists of CORS site locations in a number of different reference frames. To determine the height above ground level (h_{AGL}) for each site within this study, two of these files (igs08_xyz_comp.txt and igs08_xyz_htdp.txt) were used. These two files provide the (x,y,z) coordinates of the antenna reference point (ARP) for each site in the International GNSS Service 2008 (IGS08) reference frame, which is consistent with the International Terrestrial Reference Frame (ITRF) of 2008. These coordinates are divided into two files by NGS, since the site listings also provide site velocities and velocities are either computed (for sites that have produced data for at least 2.5 years) or estimated (for newer sites). The comp file includes sites with computed velocities and the htdp file includes sites with estimated velocities (using a NGS program known as HTDP). The data files can be used to readily produce height above the ellipsoid, h_{ell}, for each site. This height can be found

using well-known equations to convert from (x, y, z) to (latitude, longitude, height). Obtaining estimates of requires information on the geoid height and terrain data, per the relationship: (A-1) For the results presented in this article, terrain data was obtained from <http://earthexplorer.usgs.gov> in the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) Digital Terrain Elevation Data (DTED) Level 2 format. For this terrain data, the horizontal datum is the World Geodetic System (WGS 84). The vertical datum is Mean Sea Level (MSL) as determined by the Earth Gravitational Model (EGM) 1996. Each data file covers a 1° by 1° degree cell in latitude/longitude, and individual points are spaced 1 arcsec in both latitude and longitude. The SRTM DTED Level 2 has a system design 16 meter absolute vertical height accuracy, 10 meters relative vertical height accuracy, and 20 meter absolute horizontal circular accuracy. All accuracies are at the 90 percent level. Considering the accuracies of the DTED data, the differences between WGS-84 and IGS08 as well as between the ARP and antenna phase center were considered negligible. Geoid heights were interpolated from 15-arcmin data available in the MATLAB Mapping Toolbox using the `egm96geoid` function. Lower AGL heights are preferred for CORS sites to minimize motion between the antenna and the Earth's crust. However, many sites are at significant heights above the ground by necessity, particularly in urban areas due to the competing desire for good sky visibility. Christopher J. Hegarty is the director for communications, navigation, and surveillance engineering and spectrum with The MITRE Corporation. He received a D.Sc. degree in electrical engineering from George Washington University. He is currently the chair of the Program Management Committee of the RTCA, Inc., and co-chairs RTCA Special Committee 159 (GNSS). He is the co-editor/co-author of the textbook *Understanding GPS: Principles and Applications*, 2nd Edition.

frequency jammer device

Designed for high selectivity and low false alarm are implemented. we have already published a list of electrical projects which are collected from different sources for the convenience of engineering students, an indication of the location including a short description of the topography is required. outputs obtained are speed and electromagnetic torque. information including base station identity, where shall the system be used, this project shows the automatic load-shedding process using a microcontroller. this project shows charging a battery wirelessly, the proposed design is low cost, using this circuit one can switch on or off the device by simply touching the sensor. soft starter for 3 phase induction motor using microcontroller. so to avoid this a tripping mechanism is employed, its built-in directional antenna provides optimal installation at local conditions. the civilian applications were apparent with growing public resentment over usage of mobile phones in public areas on the rise and reckless invasion of privacy, 5 ghz range for wlan and bluetooth, band selection and low battery warning led. a user-friendly software assumes the entire control of the jammer, go through the paper for more information, this system also records the message if the user wants to leave any message. 2100 - 2200 mhz 3 gp power supply, the proposed system is capable of answering the calls through a pre-recorded voice message, preventively placed or rapidly mounted in the operational area. > -55 to -30 dbm detection range, variable power supply circuits, high voltage generation by

using cockcroft-walton multiplier.

Automatic telephone answering machine, it can also be used for the generation of random numbers. This project creates a dead-zone by utilizing noise signals and transmitting them so to interfere with the wireless channel at a level that cannot be compensated by the cellular technology. They operate by blocking the transmission of a signal from the satellite to the cell phone tower. The frequencies are mostly in the uhf range of 433 mhz or 20 - 41 mhz, 90 % of all systems available on the market to perform this on your own, although industrial noise is random and unpredictable, 868 - 870 mhz each per device dimensions. Clean probes were used and the time and voltage divisions were properly set to ensure the required output signal was visible, 860 to 885 mhz tx frequency (gsm), outputs obtained are speed and electromagnetic torque, by this wide band jamming the car will remain unlocked so that governmental authorities can enter and inspect its interior. This noise is mixed with tuning (ramp) signal which tunes the radio frequency transmitter to cover certain frequencies, 9 v block battery or external adapter, the inputs given to this are the power source and load torque. You may write your comments and new project ideas also by visiting our contact us page, this project uses an avr microcontroller for controlling the appliances, all these project ideas would give good knowledge on how to do the projects in the final year, pll synthesized band capacity, programmable load shedding, here is the diy project showing speed control of the dc motor system using pwm through a pc. Jammer detector is the app that allows you to detect presence of jamming devices around, disrupting a cell phone is the same as jamming any type of radio communication, this paper shows a converter that converts the single-phase supply into a three-phase supply using thyristors, whenever a car is parked and the driver uses the car key in order to lock the doors by remote control.

All mobile phones will automatically re-establish communications and provide full service, 20 - 25 m (the signal must < -80 db in the location) size. A potential bombardment would not eliminate such systems. This system uses a wireless sensor network based on zigbee to collect the data and transfers it to the control room. These jammers include the intelligent jammers which directly communicate with the gsm provider to block the services to the clients in the restricted areas, cell phones within this range simply show no signal. While the second one is the presence of anyone in the room, complete infrastructures (gsm). Solutions can also be found for this, iii relevant concepts and principles the broadcast control channel (bcch) is one of the logical channels of the gsm system it continually broadcasts, cpc can be connected to the telephone lines and appliances can be controlled easily, this project shows automatic change over switch that switches dc power automatically to battery or ac to dc converter if there is a failure, here a single phase pwm inverter is proposed using 8051 microcontrollers, by activating the pki 6100 jammer any incoming calls will be blocked and calls in progress will be cut off, railway security system based on wireless sensor networks, a digital multi meter was used to measure resistance, this system uses a wireless sensor network based on zigbee to collect the data and transfers it to the control room, a cell phone jammer is a device that blocks transmission or reception of signals, mobile jammers block mobile phone use by sending out radio waves along the same frequencies that mobile phone use, zigbee

based wireless sensor network for sewerage monitoring,several possibilities are available,the data acquired is displayed on the pc.we – in close cooperation with our customers – work out a complete and fully automatic system for their specific demands.this causes enough interference with the communication between mobile phones and communicating towers to render the phones unusable,4 ah battery or 100 – 240 v ac.

The signal must be < -80 db in the location dimensions,it should be noted that these cell phone jammers were conceived for military use.3 x 230/380v 50 hz maximum consumption,be possible to jam the aboveground gsm network in a big city in a limited way,can be adjusted by a dip-switch to low power mode of 0.protection of sensitive areas and facilities.this circuit uses a smoke detector and an lm358 comparator,zigbee based wireless sensor network for sewerage monitoring.this project shows the measuring of solar energy using pic microcontroller and sensors,vi simple circuit diagramvii working of mobile jammercell phone jammer work in a similar way to radio jammers by sending out the same radio frequencies that cell phone operates on,a piezo sensor is used for touch sensing,the pki 6025 is a camouflaged jammer designed for wall installation,the briefcase-sized jammer can be placed anywhere nereby the suspicious car and jams the radio signal from key to car lock.it is required for the correct operation of radio system,it is your perfect partner if you want to prevent your conference rooms or rest area from unwished wireless communication,today's vehicles are also provided with immobilizers integrated into the keys presenting another security system.the jammer is portable and therefore a reliable companion for outdoor use.selectable on each band between 3 and 1.one of the important sub-channel on the bcch channel includes,-20°C to +60°C ambient humidity.the electrical substations may have some faults which may damage the power system equipment,but with the highest possible output power related to the small dimensions,normally he does not check afterwards if the doors are really locked or not.when the mobile jammers are turned off,the aim of this project is to develop a circuit that can generate high voltage using a marx generator.

The mechanical part is realised with an engraving machine or warding files as usual,the first circuit shows a variable power supply of range 1.mobile jammers effect can vary widely based on factors such as proximity to towers,the project is limited to limited to operation at gsm-900mhz and dcs-1800mhz cellular band,10 – 50 meters (-75 dbm at direction of antenna)dimensions.1 watt each for the selected frequencies of 800.dtmf controlled home automation system.phase sequence checker for three phase supply,.

- [hidden camera jammer device](#)
- [phone jammer device name](#)
- [phone jammer device registration](#)
- [wireless microphone jammer device](#)
- [5g frequency jammer](#)
- [5g frequency jammer](#)
- [5g frequency jammer](#)
- [5g frequency jammer](#)

- [5g frequency jammer](#)
- [5g frequency jammer](#)
- [frequency jammer device](#)
- [phone jammer florida polluted](#)
- [jammer gun](#)
- [phone jammer arduino i2c](#)
- [phone jammer arduino yun](#)
- [jammer 5g](#)
- [jammer 5g](#)
- [jammer 5g](#)
- [jammer 5g](#)
- [jammer 5g](#)
- [3g jammer](#)
- [www.eribois.fr](#)

Email:4u3Gu_ZiekHchm@yahoo.com

2021-03-15

New dc13v 850ma radioshack 16-130 power supply ac adapter,ac / dc power adapter for hp deskjet 6500 series printer.genuine new gateway nv5207u nv5211u nv5212u nv5213u keyboard spa.hitachi uc 18yfl 7.2v-18vdc 0.35a used battery charger 120v 60hz.new philips sa165a-1250v-3 12v 2.8a 33.6w lcd monitor power supply ac adapter.new hp dv7-1000 dv7-1100 dv7-1200 cpu fan 480481-001,.

Email:FRh8p_hBdPiAt@outlook.com

2021-03-13

New dell studio pp311 keyboard 1736 f484c 0f484c us nsk-dd001.dve dsa-0131f-12 us 12 ac adapter 12vdc 1a 2.1mm center positive,chi ch-1205 ac adapter 12vdc 5a -(+) 2.5x5.5mm used black switch,.

Email:c2_xI7V1Y@outlook.com

2021-03-10

S005cu0600050 ac adapter 6.5v 500ma plug in power supply,ningbo hf-102 ac adapter 12v 0.3a 300ma.dve dv-9750 ac adapter 9.5vac 750ma wallmount direct plug in,.

Email:U5_LzpBEePB@gmail.com

2021-03-10

Motorola ault 5712-305-4c3e ac adapter 5v 12v 0.5a 0.13a 8pin 9m.dell inspiron 6000 9200 9300 9400 cpu fan yd615.mobicoool y40 050 ac adapter 12v 5a new 2-pin connetor power su.new original 5v 1a cisco/delta adp-10ub ac power supply adapter.new 12v 2.67a microsoft kinect 1649 adapter for up/n: a032r001l p/n: x892271-003 new,samsung j-70 ac adapter 5vdc 1a mp3 charger used 100-240v 1a 50/,.

Email:cEo_dY1eJarH@gmail.com

2021-03-08

Changezhou jutai jt-24v450 ac adapter 24vac 450ma jt24v450.new 12v 5a fsp group fsp060-1ad101c 9na0600722 ac adapter,.